Methods Report

The Career-Stage Playbook: A Practical Guide to Physician Engagement for Medical Specialty Societies

November 2026

Council of Medical Specialty Societies (CMSS)

CMSS
COUNCIL OF MEDICAL SPECIALTY SOCIETIES

Contents

1. Study Design 1
2. Research Framework: Career Stage as the Primary Lens 1
3. Search Strategy 2
4. Streams of Evidence 2
5. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria 3
    Geographic Scope and Time Period 4
    Inclusion Criteria 4
    Exclusion Criteria 4
6. Limitations 5
    The Central Limitation: No Source Directly Answers the Question 5
    Additional Limitations 5
7. Annotated Source List by Stream 6
    Stream 1: Physician-Specific Generational and Career-Stage Data 6
    Stream 2: General Workforce Generational Data 10
    Stream 3: Association Membership Engagement Data 11
    Stream 4: The Medical Career Pipeline 19

1. Study Design

This report is a structured desk research review. It was designed to answer two specific applied questions for which no published source provides a direct answer:

- How do physicians at different career stages experience the medical profession and their relationship with medical specialty societies?
- What can medical specialty societies do to better serve their members at each career stage?

A structured desk research review differs from a systematic review or scoping review in important respects. It does not follow a pre-established protocol or use formal inclusion reviews. Instead, it uses a defined search strategy applied across multiple source categories, with explicit criteria for inclusion and source quality assessment, to synthesize the best available evidence on a question where the relevant literature is fragmented across disciplines and source types. The methods described in this report are appropriate for an applied research deliverable and do not claim the inferential strength of a peer-reviewed systematic review.

2. Research Framework: Career Stage as the Primary Lens

The research is organized around career stage — early career (approximately the first ten years of independent practice), mid-career (approximately years 10–20), and late career (21 or more years in practice) — rather than generational birth-year cohorts (Millennial, Gen X, Boomer).

Generational birth-year cohorts are used as rough secondary proxies, acknowledging that they overlap with career stages in the following approximate pattern:

- Early career physicians are predominantly Millennial (born approximately 1981–1996). Gen Z physicians are beginning to enter this group as they complete their training.
- Mid-career physicians are predominantly Gen X (born approximately 1965–1980), though the bracket includes some Millennial physicians.
- Late-career physicians are predominantly Baby Boomers (born approximately 1946–1964) with some Gen X physicians.

The choice of career stage rather than generation is supported by American Society of Association Executive Research Foundation's key membership study, The Decision to Join. This work states directly that career stage is a more accurate predictor of members' education and content needs than age or generational cohort.

The framework also acknowledges a complication specific to medicine: because of the length of training, career stages in medicine arrive later than in most other professions. A physician who completes fellowship at age 35 enters the early career stage at an age when professionals in many other fields are already in mid-career or senior positions.

3. Search Strategy

The research was organized around planned source categories:

- Physician-specific survey data. Medscape annual physician surveys (the only major physician survey with explicit generational segmentation); Physicians Foundation annual surveys of US physicians, residents, and medical students; AAMC physician workforce data and faculty engagement surveys.
- General workforce generational data. McKinsey, Deloitte, and Gallup generational workforce studies, used to establish cross-industry baselines on generational priorities and to contextualize physician-specific findings.
- Association membership engagement research. MGI Membership Marketing Benchmarking Reports; Community Brands/Momentive Association Trends Studies; Forj State of Association Member Experience; Highland Solutions research and case studies; ASAE Research Foundation membership motivation research (The Decision to Join, The Decision to Volunteer, ForesightWorks action briefs).
- Physician specialty society case studies. Deep dives on six societies with notable career-stage programming — American College of Cardiology, American College of Surgeons, American College of Physicians, American Academy of Pediatrics, American Society of Anesthesiologists, and Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists — followed by a broad scan of additional societies including the AMA, ACOG, AAFP, AAEM, Society of Behavioral Medicine, and others.
- Academic literature. PubMed and Google Scholar searches covering: physician career stage; generational differences in medicine; physician professional identity; physician wellbeing and burnout by career stage; and specialty society membership. The Mayo Clinic Proceedings Career Life Cycle series (five peer-reviewed articles, September 2025 – January 2026) was identified as a priority source.

4. Streams of Evidence

No single source directly answers the research questions. No peer-reviewed literature exists on specialty society membership engagement by physician career stage; no large-sample survey directly measures how physicians at different career stages think about

their professional association; and the physician-specific wellbeing literature and the association-sector membership literature have not been brought together.

To construct an evidence-informed picture of physician career-stage experience and specialty society engagement, the research draws on four streams of evidence.

Stream 1: Physician-Specific Generational and Career-Stage Data. Research measuring how physicians at different career stages or generational cohorts experience the profession — including burnout, wellbeing, professional identity, mentorship, and workplace engagement. Sources include the Medscape annual physician surveys, the Physicians Foundation annual surveys, AAMC workforce and faculty engagement data, the Mayo Clinic Proceedings Career Life Cycle series, and peer-reviewed academic studies on physician wellbeing and generational differences in medicine.

Stream 2: General Workforce Generational Data. Research on how workers across industries at different generational cohorts or career stages experience work, engagement, and professional motivation. Sources include McKinsey, Deloitte, and Gallup generational workforce studies. These findings are applied to physicians by reasoned inference rather than direct measurement and are clearly marked as such throughout the report.

Stream 3: Association Membership Engagement Data. Research on how members of professional associations across industries think about and behave toward their membership organizations — including what drives joining, renewal, disengagement, and long-term loyalty. Sources include MGI, Community Brands/Momentive, Forj, Highland Solutions, and ASAE Research Foundation. This stream also includes case studies of physician specialty societies with documented career-stage programming. Stream 3 findings carry the most direct applicability to specialty society strategy but must be interpreted carefully: most association research does not distinguish physician associations from other professional associations, and physician-specific society case studies rarely include rigorous outcome data.

Stream 4: The Medical Career Pipeline. Contextual knowledge of how the structure of medical training and practice shapes physician experience in ways that have no direct parallel in general workforce research. This includes: the timing and structure of graduate medical education; the training-to-practice transition and its financial and professional dimensions; the institutional support cliff at the end of training; and the ways major healthcare system milestones land differently depending on career stage at time of encounter. Stream 4 also addresses practice setting as a career-stage crosscutting lens — specifically, how physician-governed, institutionally governed, and academic practice settings shape what physicians need from specialty societies at each career stage. Stream 4 knowledge is primarily contextual and framing — it shapes how findings from Streams 1–3 are interpreted — rather than a discrete set of original sources.

5. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Geographic Scope and Time Period

The research is primarily focused on the United States, consistent with CMSS member societies and their physician membership. One international source is included and flagged explicitly: the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists (RANZCP) Transition to Retirement Working Group Recommendations (August 2025), which is the most methodologically rigorous late-career and retirement research found in the entire dataset and has no comparable US equivalent.

The research covers sources published from 2006 through early 2026, with the majority from 2020 or later.

Inclusion Criteria

Sources were included if they met one or more of the following criteria:

- They provided physician-specific data on career stage experience, professional identity, wellbeing, burnout, or engagement.
- They provided physician-specific data on specialty society programming, membership structures, or career-stage engagement.
- They provided association-sector data on membership motivation, generational engagement, career-stage loyalty, or renewal behavior, applicable by reasoned inference to physician specialty societies.
- They were published or produced by a credible research organization — peer-reviewed journal, major research consultancy, established association management research body, or physician professional organization — or were a primary organizational source such as a society program website, press release, or official publication.
- They were accessible: either freely available or available in sufficient summary form to extract meaningful findings.

Exclusion Criteria

Sources were excluded on the following grounds:

- General popular press or news coverage without original research.
- Sources addressing physician workforce issues without career-stage or generational framing.
- Sources that were entirely gated with no accessible summary or excerpt sufficient to extract meaningful findings.
- Sources published before 2006.

The AMA Physician Practice Benchmark Survey was excluded as an attitudinal data because it does not focus on generational or career-stage attitudinal data that are not better covered by Medscape or the Physicians Foundation surveys.

6. Limitations

The Central Limitation: No Source Directly Answers the Question

No published source directly answers the research questions at hand, which sits at the intersection of three bodies of literature that have not been brought together before:

- Physician wellbeing and professional identity research, which documents career-stage experience but does not address specialty society engagement.
- Association membership research, which documents engagement patterns but does not focus on physician specialty societies or control for the specific structural features of medical careers.
- Physician specialty society case studies, which describe programs but rarely include rigorous outcome data.

The validity of the report's conclusions rests on the convergence of findings across streams — where multiple streams point toward the same conclusion, confidence is higher; where only one stream supports a finding, it is presented with appropriate hedging.

Additional Limitations

- The most physician-specific wellbeing research (Medscape, Physicians Foundation) uses coarse age brackets that do not align precisely with the early/mid/late career framework, meaning some findings attributed to a career stage may blend adjacent cohorts.
- Association membership research surveys associations across all industries. Physician specialty societies have differentiating features and a distinct membership base that may limit direct applicability of cross-industry findings.
- The specialty society case studies in this dataset represent societies that have made deliberate career-stage investments; they do not represent the full distribution of physician specialty society approaches.
- No physician-specific non-member or lapsed-member research was identified
- Gen Z attending physician data is essentially nonexistent, with the majority of Gen Z physicians still in training as of the date of this research.

7. Annotated Source List by Stream

The following tables list all sources reviewed for this research, organized by stream, with the substantive rationale for each source's inclusion. The final report includes 52 unique sources with their citations. The following lists include all sources examined, whether or not they are cited in the final report.

Stream 1: Physician-Specific Generational and Career-Stage Data

Research measuring how physicians at different career stages or generational cohorts experience the profession.

Source	Inclusion Rationale
Medscape. *Physician Mental Health & Well-Being Report 2025.* 2025. https://www.medscape.com/slideshow/2024-Physician-Mental-Health-6017854 *(Note: URL reflects 2024 survey data year; report published and titled as 2025.)*	The largest freely available physician survey with explicit Millennial vs. older physician comparisons. Provides year-over-year trend data on burnout, happiness trajectory, and work-life balance by generation, including the counterintuitive finding that Millennials report higher current burden but more optimistic trajectory than older cohorts. n=5,741 US physicians.
Kane L et al. *National Physician Burnout & Suicide Report 2020: The Generational Divide*. Medscape. January 15, 2020. https://www.medscape.com/slideshow/2020-lifestyle-burnout-6012460	The only Medscape survey explicitly designed as a generational study. Documents the Gen X burnout peak, cohort differences in help-seeking preferences (Boomers prefer therapists; Millennials prefer peers), and EHR as a distinctive Boomer stressor — the single strongest empirical data point for the Mindset List milestone mapping. n=15,181 physicians.
Physicians Foundation. *2024 Survey of America's Current and Future Physicians*. September 2024. https://physiciansfoundation.org/research/examining-physician-resident-and-student-wellbeing-and-impact-of-the-current-healthcare-landscape/	Provides the starkest social withdrawal finding in the dataset (49% of younger vs. 28% of older physicians), directly corroborating the isolation arc documented in the Mayo series. Resident and student data adds a pipeline dimension absent from most physician surveys. n=1,020 physicians, 353 residents, 350 students.

Source	Inclusion Rationale
Physicians Foundation. *The State of America's Physicians: 2025 Wellbeing Survey*. September 2025. https://physiciansfoundation.org/research/the-state-of-americas-physicians-2025-wellbeing-survey/	Most current edition of the Physicians Foundation annual survey; enables year-over-year trend comparison with the 2024 findings on burnout, professional satisfaction, and wellbeing by age group.
AAMC. *U.S. Physician Workforce Data Dashboard: 2025 Key Findings*. 2025. https://www.aamc.org/data-reports/data/2025-key-findings	Authoritative demographic baseline establishing that physicians aged 55 or older represent more than 40% of the current US physician workforce — the quantitative foundation for framing late-career programming as an urgent sector priority, not a distant planning consideration.
Dandar V, Field J, Balas K, Dutterer J, Kim J. *AAMC StandPoint™ Surveys: 2023 State of Medical School Faculty Engagement*. AAMC, 2023. https://store.aamc.org/the-aamc-standpoint-surveys-2023-state-of-medical-school-faculty-engagement.html	The only AAMC survey with career-stage engagement and satisfaction data for academic faculty, providing a baseline on belonging, mentorship needs, and career satisfaction by stage within academic medicine.
Thomas LR, Brigham T, Shanafelt T. Residency and Fellowship: Fostering Physician Well-being Over the Career Life Cycle. Mayo Clin Proc. 2025;100(9):1649–1659.	Article 1 of the 5-article Mayo Clinic Proceedings Career Life Cycle series, the most authoritative academic source in the dataset. Establishes the series' career stage framework and boundaries; documents training-stage professional identity formation, the protective-but-temporary peer community, and the institutional factors that create downstream effects on early-career engagement.
Rotenstein L, Harry E, Shanafelt T. The Early Career Phase. Mayo Clin Proc. 2025;100(10):1836–1845.	Documents goal clarification as the most underestimated early-career challenge and provides the two-years-at-first-job mobility finding. Identifies community dissolution, imposter phenomenon, and financial planning risks as the defining personal dynamics of this stage, and frames specialty societies as the portable professional community employers cannot provide.
Ligibel JA, Awad K, Shanafelt T. Mid-Career. Mayo Clin Proc. 2025;100(11):2007–2016.	Confirms in a national survey of 7,288 physicians that burnout peaks at mid-career regardless of gender, specialty, or practice setting. Names and documents the five hallmarks of mid-career distress and — uniquely — acknowledges in the peer-reviewed literature itself that mid-career is the most programmatically neglected stage.
Frey K, Arata M, Shanafelt T. Late Career. Mayo Clin Proc. 2025;100(12):2255–2261.	Documents the liminality of late career, the identity and purpose challenges of physicians winding down practice, and the relationship-planning imperative — the argument that social investment must begin a decade before retirement and is as

Source	Inclusion Rationale
	essential as financial planning. Explicitly names professional societies as part of the support ecosystem.
Brower KJ, Litt IF, Shanafelt TD. Retirement. Mayo Clin Proc. 2026;101(1):179–186.	Frames retirement as a process of recalibration rather than a discrete exit. Documents the professional identity crisis at retirement, the social isolation risk for physicians whose networks existed primarily at work, and the mutual-value model for retired physician engagement — including the Stanford emeriti mentoring pilot showing that retired physicians make highly effective mentors for junior faculty.
McMurray JE et al. The Generation and Gender Shifts in Medicine. BMC Health Services Research. 2006;6:59.	The most rigorous direct comparison of Boomer and Gen X physicians on professional values and work behaviors. Its central finding — that perceived generational differences are larger than actual behavioral differences — provides the primary methodological caution against overstating generational stereotypes in the report.
Babenko O. Professional Well-Being of Practicing Physicians: The Roles of Autonomy, Competence, and Relatedness. *Healthcare*. 2018;6(1):12.	Establishes that relatedness — feeling connected to colleagues and community — is the strongest predictor of physician professional wellbeing, stronger than autonomy or competence. With 47% of the study sample being early-career physicians, this directly grounds the specialty society community value proposition in peer-reviewed evidence.
Haggins AN, Walsh R, Broadstock AT, et al. Mentorship Strategies and Illustrative Cases at Every Career Stage. *AEM Education and Training*. 2026;10(1):e70131.	A 2025 peer-reviewed source that explicitly combines career stage definitions with generational framing (Millennials, Gen Z), validating that the organizational framework this report uses is methodologically established in the current literature.
Hodkinson A et al. Associations of Physician Burnout with Career Engagement and Quality of Patient Care. BMJ. 2022;378:e070442.	Meta-analysis — the highest level of evidence — confirming that burnout peaks in physicians aged 31–50, which maps directly to the early-to-mid career window and corroborates the mid-career burnout finding across multiple streams.
Kane, Carol K. Physician Practice Characteristics in 2024. AMA Policy Research Perspectives. May 2025.	The most current authoritative source on the distribution of US physician practice settings. Establishes that employed physicians now constitute a majority of the US physician workforce, providing the quantitative foundation for the report's three-category practice setting framework and its implications for how different physician segments relate to their specialty society.
American Medical Association. *AMA Examines Decade of Change in Physician Practice Ownership*	Provides ten-year trend data documenting the acceleration of the shift from physician-owned to institutionally governed practice settings. Used alongside

Source	Inclusion Rationale
and Organization. Press release. July 12, 2023. https://www.ama-assn.org/press-center/ama-press-releases/ama-examines-decade-change-physician-practice-ownership-and	Source 73 to establish the historical trajectory and career-stage implications of the ownership landscape change, particularly how Boomer, Gen X, and Millennial physicians entered the profession under meaningfully different structural conditions.
Powers MP. *Generation Z*. IR Quarterly, Society of Interventional Radiology. December 3, 2024. http://irq.sirweb.org/sir-50th/generation-z/	A specialty-society-produced qualitative account of how Gen Z physicians are beginning to show up in interventional radiology — useful as a practitioner-level illustration of the Gen Z characteristics documented in more rigorous sources. Included as a specialty-specific case example, not as original research; treated as qualitative and anecdotal throughout.
Salik I. *How Millennials and Gen Z Are Reshaping the Medical Workforce*. KevinMD. February 8, 2025. https://kevinmd.com/2025/02/how-millennials-and-gen-z-are-reshaping-the-medical-workforce.html	Commentary synthesizing general observations about Millennial and Gen Z physician characteristics as they manifest in clinical settings. Included as a practitioner-facing characterization of the emerging two-cohort early-career dynamic, not as original research; treated as commentary throughout.
West CP, Dyrbye LN, Satele DV, Shanafelt TD. Colleagues Meeting to Promote and Sustain Satisfaction (COMPASS) Groups for Physician Well-Being: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Mayo Clin Proc. 2021;96(10):2606--2614.	The only randomized clinical trial in the dataset testing a structured peer group intervention for physician wellbeing. Documents that longitudinal, unfacilitated peer discussion groups are associated with decreased burnout, fewer depressive symptoms, and lower intent to leave -- providing the evidence base for the mid-career peer group recommendation. The trial was conducted in health system settings; the report applies the model to specialty society programming by reasoned inference.

Stream 2: General Workforce Generational Data

Research on generational differences in worker priorities and engagement across industries. Applied to physicians by reasoned inference; marked as such throughout the report.

Source	Inclusion Rationale
Deloitte. *2024 Gen Z and Millennial Survey: Living and Working with Purpose in a Transforming World*. May 2024. https://www.deloitte.com/global/en/issues/work/content/genz-millennialsurvey.html	Annual cross-industry survey providing the most current global data on what Millennial and Gen Z workers prioritize: purpose alignment, mental health support, work-life integration, and organizational values consistency. Establishes the broader generational context for what early-career physicians are likely to expect from employers and professional organizations. 22,000+ respondents across 44 countries.
Deloitte. *2025 Gen Z and Millennial Survey*. 2025. https://www.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/topics/talent/2025-gen-z-millennial-survey.html	Most current Deloitte edition. Used for year-over-year comparison with the 2024 findings and as the primary reference point for current Gen Z and Millennial workforce expectations.
Harter J. *The New Challenge of Engaging Younger Workers*. Gallup. February 2024. https://www.gallup.com/workplace/610856/new-challenge-engaging-younger-workers.aspx	Documents that younger workers are less engaged than older workers across industries, with the engagement gap widening since 2020, and that younger workers' engagement is most sensitive to whether they feel their manager cares about them as a person. Applied by inference to early-career physicians' relationship with specialty societies.
Gallup. *Older Baby Boomers More Engaged at Work Than Younger Boomers*. 2014. https://news.gallup.com/poll/181298/older-baby-boomers-engaged-work-younger-boomers.aspx	Documents within-cohort variation in the Boomer generation: older Boomers report higher work engagement than younger Boomers. Provides a check against treating the late-career physician cohort as monolithic and grounds the recommendation to differentiate programming within, not just across, career stages.
De Smet A, Mugayar-Baldocchi M, Reich A, Schaninger B. *Gen What? Debunking Age-Based Myths About Worker Preferences*. McKinsey & Company. April 2023. https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/gen-what-debunking-age-based-myths-about-worker-preferences	Cross-industry analysis finding that most observed generational differences in work preferences disappear when controlling for career stage and life stage. The single most direct validation for organizing the report around career stage rather than birth cohort, and cited as such in the methodology section. n=18,000 workers.

Stream 3: Association Membership Engagement Data

Research on member behavior and expectations in professional associations, plus case studies of physician specialty societies with career-stage programming.

Source	Inclusion Rationale
Highland Solutions. *Why Professional Associations Aren't Engaging Young Professionals*. June 4, 2024. https://www.highlandsolutions.com/insights/why-professional-associations-arent-engaging-young-professionals	Documents the structural reasons associations fail to engage early-career members: treating them as a future investment rather than current members with present needs, offering undifferentiated programming, and pricing structures that create barriers at the training-to-practice transition. Provides the framing for early-career engagement failures against which the ACC and ACS models are positioned.
MGI. *2024 Membership Marketing Benchmarking Report*. 16th edition. 2024. https://www.marketinggeneral.com/knowledge-bank/reports	Annual survey of association executives on membership strategy, generational composition, and renewal performance. Healthcare associations represent 20% of the sample — the largest single industry category — adding face validity for applying these findings to physician specialty societies. IMO-specific data is the most applicable subset. n=696 associations.
MGI. *2025 Membership Marketing Benchmarking Report*. 17th edition. 2025. https://www.marketinggeneral.com/knowledge-bank/reports	Most current MGI edition. Contains two of the most important sector-level findings: that 47% of associations have made no adaptations to address generational differences, and that Millennial membership share jumped four points in a single year after years of stasis — a potential inflection point in the generational composition of IMO membership. n=455 associations.
Forj. *2023 State of Association Member Experience: Members as Disruptors*. 2023. https://www.forj.ai/member-experience-2023	One of only two sources in the dataset with member-side (not executive-side) career-stage data. Provides career-stage-segmented NPS (mid-career NPS near-negative at 7.4), renewal intent, and the join/stay motivation finding: members join for community, stay for learning, and mid-career members' top reason for staying is to share their expertise. n=1,000+ association members.

Source	Inclusion Rationale
Naylor Association Solutions. *2024 Association Benchmarking Report*. 2024. https://content.naylor.com/BenchmarkingReport24.html	Used alongside MGI data for context on overall association performance trends and sector-wide benchmarks on recruitment, renewal, and member satisfaction.
Community Brands. *2023 Association Trends Study: Redefining the Association Experience*. August 2023. https://www.communitybrands.com/resource-library/whitepapers/2023-association-trends-study/	Career-stage-segmented data on member expectations, value perceptions, and technology preferences across the membership lifecycle. Provides the cross-year baseline for comparison with the 2024 edition.
Momentive Software (formerly Community Brands). *2024 Association Trends Study: A New Era of Associations*. October 2024. https://www.communitybrands.com/resource-library/whitepapers/2024-association-trends-study/	Most current edition. Documents continued decline in member satisfaction and the growing gap between member expectations and association delivery, with particular implications for younger and mid-career members who have the highest alternative-access expectations.
Whited D. *What Is Member Value, Really?* Highland Solutions. April 30, 2026. https://www.highlandsolutions.com/insights/what-is-member-value-really	Articulates a framework for how associations should define and communicate member value that goes beyond transactional benefits. Informs the report's implications section on how specialty societies can communicate career-stage-specific value propositions.
Highland Solutions. *The Bridge Experience Gave AAOS a Scalable, Member-First Path to Long-Term Growth*. Case study. 2026. https://www.highlandsolutions.com/results/american-academy-of-orthopaedic-surgeons	The only published physician-society case study in the dataset with documented outcome metrics. Documents how AAOS redesigned its early-career engagement program and achieved measurable improvement in membership loyalty and renewal — the sector's closest available benchmark for career-stage programming effectiveness.
Whited D. *Do We Even Need Membership in 2026?* Highland Solutions. 2026. https://www.highlandsolutions.com/insights/do-we-even-need-membership-in-2026	Addresses the structural challenge to the membership model itself and what makes specialty society value defensible when most content and networking can be accessed outside of a formal membership relationship. Informs the report's framing of what specialty societies must offer that cannot be obtained elsewhere.
Berbaum J. *From Career Support to Career Partnership: What the Shift Looks Like in Practice*. Highland Solutions. 2026.	Articulates the shift from transactional membership value (access to benefits) to career-partnership framing (ongoing investment in a member's professional trajectory). Directly

Source	Inclusion Rationale
https://www.highlandsolutions.com/insights/from-career-support-to-career-partnership-what-the-shift-looks-like-in-practice	applicable to how specialty societies should position career-stage programming.
Whited D. *3 Reasons Traditional Member Surveys Don't Drive Strategy*. Highland Solutions. November 2025. https://www.highlandsolutions.com/insights/3-reasons-traditional-member-surveys-dont-drive-strategy	Makes the methodological case for moving beyond satisfaction surveys to career-stage-differentiated member insight. Provides the rationale for the primary research component of this project (Stream 5) and for how CMSS member societies should rethink their own member research.
Dalton J, Dignam M. *The Decision to Join: How Individuals Determine Value and Why They Choose to Belong*. ASAE Research Foundation, 2007.	ASAE's most important foundational membership research. Contains the single most important methodological finding for this report: that career stage is a more accurate predictor of member education and content needs than age or generational cohort. Also cautions against broad generational assumptions not universally supported by data.
Gazley B, Dignam M. *The Decision to Volunteer: Why People Give Their Time and How You Can Engage Them*. ASAE Research Foundation, August 2008.	Companion to The Decision to Join. Provides data on what motivates volunteer engagement by career stage — directly applicable to specialty society committee participation and the leadership pipeline at each stage.
ASAE Research Foundation. *Exploring the Future of Membership*. 2014.	Addresses emerging challenges to the membership model and the changing expectations of next-generation members. Pre-pandemic; conceptual contributions on member value and loyalty remain relevant.
ASAE ForesightWorks. *The Next-Gen Professionals*. Action brief. ASAE Research Foundation.	ASAE's forward-looking research on next-generation professional engagement in associations. Not yet fully reviewed; access pending through CMSS's ASAE membership.
ASAE ForesightWorks. *Aging World*. Action brief. ASAE Research Foundation.	ASAE's forward-looking research on late-career and retirement-stage member engagement. Companion to Source 30. Not yet fully reviewed; access pending through CMSS's ASAE membership.
ASAE ForesightWorks. *Demographics and Membership*. Action brief. ASAE Research Foundation. Continuously updated; last confirmed 2024.	Ongoing ASAE research brief on how demographic shifts are reshaping association membership composition and strategy.

Source	Inclusion Rationale
Rabbitt E, CAE. *Connect With Members Across Generations*. Associations Now, ASAE. April 16, 2019. https://www.asaecenter.org/resources/articles/foundation/2019/connect-with-members-across-generations	The primary free-access vehicle for ASAE Research Foundation findings on generational engagement. Synthesizes The Decision to Join and related research, and serves as the primary citation for the career-stage-over-generation finding.
Wheeler M. *A New Age for Associations*. Associations Now, ASAE. April 25, 2024. https://www.asaecenter.org/resources/articles/an_plus/2024/04-april/a-new-age-for-associations	Practitioner analysis of how associations are navigating generational adaptation challenges, with examples of early-adopter approaches to career-stage differentiation.
Vaughan C. *The Membership Model Is Breaking Down -- Here's How Associations Can Rebuild It*. Associations Now, ASAE. November 20, 2025. https://www.asaecenter.org/resources/articles/an_plus/2025/11-november/the-membership-model-is-breaking-down-heres-how-associations-can-rebuild-it	Most current practitioner assessment of the structural membership model crisis. Provides framing for the challenges CMSS member societies face regardless of generational strategy.
Dickstein E. *Generational Influencer Marketing: Lessons Learned in Crossing the Divide*. Session presented at: ASAE Marketing, Membership, Communications + Tech Conference; May 12--13, 2025; Washington, DC.	Conference session documenting how associations are adapting their outreach and communication by generation, with concrete examples of differentiated messaging strategies.
Kalia H, Adams M, Jakubowicz D. *Medical Societies Are Facing an Existential Crisis*. MedPage Today. October 31, 2025. https://www.medpagetoday.com/opinion/second-opinions/118254	Opinion piece by three physicians arguing that specialty societies face a structural relevance crisis driven in part by the growth of institutional employment and the erosion of physician autonomy. Included as a physician-authored articulation of the membership value challenge from inside the profession, not as original research; treated as opinion and commentary throughout. The freemium membership model recommendation in this piece is noted but held for consideration pending primary research findings.
American College of Cardiology. *Early Career Professionals Member Section*. Program website. https://www.acc.org/membership/sections-and-councils/early-career-section American College of Cardiology. *New ACC Program: Reignite Your Purpose With Cardio Renew*. ACC Cardiovascular Management Section Update. December 11, 2025. https://www.acc.org/Membership/Sections-and-	The most comprehensively career-stage-organized physician specialty society in the US dataset. Documents: complimentary membership for years 1–2 post-training; tiered dues through year 4; Early Career Section programming; the Sandra J. Lewis Institute (mid-career women's leadership, cohort of ~12); Cardio Renew (mid-career burnout and resilience program, launched December 2025); and the

Source	Inclusion Rationale
Councils/Cardiovascular-Management-Section/Section-Updates/2025/12/11/17/23/New-ACC-Program American College of Cardiology. *Sandra J. Lewis Mid-Career Women's Leadership Institute*. Program website. https://www.acc.org/Membership/Features/2021/06/Sandra-J-Lewis-Mid-Career-Womens-Leadership-Institute American College of Cardiology. *New ACC Leadership Program Designed to Help Mid-Career Women in Cardiology Grow Their Careers*. Press release. December 17, 2020. https://www.acc.org/about-acc/press-releases/2020/12/17/15/04/new-acc-leadership-program-designed-to-help-mid-career-women-in-cardiology-grow-their-careers American College of Cardiology. *Advanced Career Professionals Member Section*. Program website. https://www.acc.org/Membership/Sections-and-Councils/Senior-Cardiovascular-Professionals American College of Cardiology. *Gear Up For ACC.25: A Comprehensive Guide For Early Career Professionals*. ACC Early Career Section Update. March 24, 2025. https://www.acc.org/Membership/Sections-and-Councils/Early-Career-Section/Section-Updates/2025/03/24/19/46/Gear-Up-For-ACC25 Walsh MN, Arrighi JA, Cacchione JG, et al. 2022 ACC Health Policy Statement on Career Flexibility in Cardiology: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Solution Set Oversight Committee. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2022;80(22):2135--2155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2022.08.748	Advanced Career Professionals Section (~16,000 members age 60+). Used throughout as the primary positive model for full-lifecycle programming.
American College of Surgeons. *New Senior Fellows Society Presents Opportunities for Professional Growth, Engagement*. ACS Bulletin. September 2025, Volume 110, Issue 8. https://www.facs.org/for-medical-professionals/news-publications/news-and-articles/bulletin/2025/september-2025-volume-110-issue-8/new-senior-fellows-society-presents-opportunities-for-professional-growth-engagement/	ACS explicitly uses the term “lifecycle programs” and maintains named programs at every career stage: RAS (trainees), YFA (auto-enrolled at age ≤45), Aspiring Leaders Program (mid-career 1:1 coaching with external vendor), Colleague Connection Program, and Senior Fellows Society (launched October 2025). Used as the second major positive model for physician society lifecycle programming.

Source	Inclusion Rationale
American College of Surgeons. *Resources for ACS Members in Every Career Stage*. ACS Bulletin. October 2025, Volume 110, Issue 9. https://www.facs.org/for-medical-professionals/news-publications/news-and-articles/bulletin/2025/october-2025-volume-110-issue-9/resources-for-acs-members-in-every-career-stage/ American College of Surgeons. *Young Fellows Association*. Program website. https://www.facs.org/for-medical-professionals/membership-community/young-fellows-association/ American College of Surgeons. *Applications Invited for ACS Aspiring Leaders Program*. ACS Bulletin. August 2022, Volume 107, Number 8. https://www.facs.org/for-medical-professionals/news-publications/news-and-articles/bulletin/august-2022-volume-107-number-8/applications-invited-for-acs-aspiring-leaders-program/ American College of Surgeons. *ACS Colleague Connection*. Program website. https://www.facs.org/for-medical-professionals/membership-community/acs-colleague-connection/	
Frost M. *Are You Ready to Be an FACP?* I.M. Matters from ACP. September 2023. https://immattersacp.org/archives/2023/09/are-you-ready-to-be-an-facp.htm American College of Physicians. *Career and Professional Development*. Program website. https://www.acponline.org/practice-career/career-and-professional-development	ACP's Guided Fellowship Program grew from 18.6% to 24.4% of FACP designations in three years — the only quantitative program outcome data for an early-career engagement intervention found outside the AAOS case study.
American Society of Anesthesiologists. *Early Career Membership Program*. Program website. https://www.asahq.org/education-and-career/early-career-membership-program/ecm-program California Society of Anesthesiologists. *The New Early Career Membership Program*. July 2022. https://csahq.org/2022/07/25/the-new-early-career-membership-program/	ASA's ECMP ($299 one-time for three years of membership plus $4,638 in bundled education and events) is the most innovative early-career pricing model found in the dataset, directly addressing the financial barrier at the training-to-practice transition.

Source	Inclusion Rationale
American Society of Clinical Oncology. *Emeritus Membership: What Does Retirement Mean to You?* ASCO Connection. https://connection.asco.org/do/emeritus-membership-what-does-retirement-mean-you	Documents ASCO's retirement-stage engagement structure and member perspectives on transitioning identity from active clinician to emeritus — evidence for how specialty societies can maintain meaningful membership beyond the end of active practice.
American Academy of Pediatrics. *Early Career Membership*. Program website. https://www.aap.org/en/career-resources/planning-your-career/early-career-membership/	Provides cross-society comparison data on early-career membership structures, enabling pattern analysis across societies in how they handle the training-to-practice transition.
Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists. *Transition to Retirement Working Group Recommendations: Supporting Members Transitioning to, and in, Retirement*. August 2025. https://www.ranzcp.org/getmedia/ff2090cc-c768-4dcd-9da1-d42fdfb13437/Transition-to-Retirement-Working-Group-Recommendations.pdf	The most methodologically rigorous late-career and retirement research in the entire dataset. Key findings — that identity loss is the central challenge of physician retirement, that peer networks are preferred over institutional programming, and that CPD requirements can inadvertently block continued teaching and mentoring post-retirement — have no comparable US equivalent and are applied with appropriate caution. n=479 survey, 20 qualitative interviews.
American Medical Association. *Young Physicians Section*. Program website. https://www.ama-assn.org/member-groups-sections/young-physicians-section-yps American Medical Association. *Senior Physicians Section*. Program website. https://www.ama-assn.org/member-groups-sections/senior-physicians-section-sps American Medical Association. *What's Next? How Senior Physicians Can Plan a Career Transition*. August 13, 2025. https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/career-development/what-s-next-how-senior-physicians-can-plan-career-transition American Medical Association. *Burnout Eases for Doctors at Every Career Stage as Support Rises*. July 22, 2025. https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/physician-health/burnout-eases-doctors-every-career-stage-support-rises	Documents AMA's career-stage section structure and provides the 2025 burnout trend data showing that burnout is decreasing at every career stage as physician support resources increase — evidence that organizational investment in career-stage support produces measurable results.

Source	Inclusion Rationale
Spring B. *Adding and Drawing Value from Later Career Stage Members*. SBM Outlook. Summer 2024. https://www.sbm.org/publications/outlook/issues/summer-2024/adding-and-drawing-value-from-later-career-stage-members/full-article Society of Behavioral Medicine. *2021--25 Strategic Plan*. https://www.sbm.org/about/leadership/strategic-plan	SBM's Wisdom Council (launched 2024–2025) exemplifies the inflection year in late-career programming across the sector, demonstrating that a society can create meaningful late-career engagement with limited resources.
Anwar MAF. *Investing Today in Early-Career Physicians' Unique Needs Fuels Tomorrow's Health Care Leaders*. KevinMD. November 13, 2022. https://www.kevinmd.com/2022/11/investing-today-in-early-career-physicians-unique-needs-fuels-tomorrows-health-care-leaders.html	Member-authored perspective providing a physician voice on why early-career investment matters — useful as a framing example of how societies can elevate member perspectives on career-stage programming.
Syed A, Rahimy E, Sridhar J. *Specialty Organization Finances and Membership: A Closer Look*. medRxiv. September 2023. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.16.23295655	The only quantitative cross-society data point on early-career dues structures: only 34% of 29 physician specialty organizations offer discounted dues for newly graduated residents. Establishes the sector baseline against which early-career pricing innovations (ASA, ACC) are measured. n=29 societies.
American College of Cardiology. "ACC Grassroots Efforts Usher in Major Prior Auth Win." *Cardiology Magazine*. January 24, 2024. https://www.acc.org/Latest-in-Cardiology/Articles/2024/01/24/19/43/acc-grassroots-efforts-usher-in-major-prior-auth-win	Documents specialty society advocacy producing a concrete federal regulatory result: the 2024 CMS Interoperability and Prior Authorization Rule, reflecting sustained ACC member engagement with Congress and federal agencies. Used to support the claim that specialty society advocacy on prior authorization has produced documented legislative and regulatory outcomes.
American Medical Association. "10 States Have Tackled Prior Authorization So Far in 2024." AMA website. August 19, 2024. https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/prior-authorization/10-states-have-tackled-prior-authorization-so-far-2024	Documents that nearly a dozen states passed prior authorization reform legislation in 2024, driven by state medical society and AMA advocacy. Used to support the claim that collective specialty society advocacy has produced measurable state-level legislative results on prior authorization.

Stream 4: The Medical Career Pipeline

Contextual knowledge of how medicine's training structure shapes physician experience in ways without parallel in general workforce research.

Source	Inclusion Rationale
Thomas LR, Brigham T, Shanafelt T. *Residency and Fellowship: Fostering Physician Well-being Over the Career Life Cycle.* Mayo Clin Proc. 2025;100(9):1649–1659. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2025.05.024	Article 1 of the 5-article Mayo Clinic Proceedings Career Life Cycle series, the most authoritative academic source in the dataset. Establishes the series—career stage framework and boundaries; documents training-stage professional identity formation, the protective-but-temporary peer community, and the institutional factors that create downstream effects on early-career engagement.
Rotenstein L, Harry E, Shanafelt T. *The Early Career Phase: Fostering Physician Well-being Over the Career Life Cycle.* Mayo Clin Proc. 2025;100(10):1836–1845. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2025.05.025	Documents goal clarification as the most underestimated early-career challenge and provides the two-years-at-first-job mobility finding. Identifies community dissolution, imposter phenomenon, and financial planning risks as the defining personal dynamics of this stage, and frames specialty societies as the portable professional community employers cannot provide.
Ligibel JA, Awad K, Shanafelt T. *Mid-Career: Fostering Physician Well-being Over the Career Life Cycle.* Mayo Clin Proc. 2025;100(11):2007–2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2025.05.026	Confirms in a national survey of 7,288 physicians that burnout peaks at mid-career regardless of gender, specialty, or practice setting. Names and documents the five hallmarks of mid-career distress and—uniquely—acknowledges in the peer-reviewed literature itself that mid-career is the most programmatically neglected stage.
Frey K, Arata M, Shanafelt T. *Late Career: Fostering Physician Well-being Over the Career Life Cycle.* Mayo Clin Proc. 2025;100(12):2255–2261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2025.05.028	Documents the liminality of late career, the identity and purpose challenges of physicians winding down practice, and the relationship-planning imperative—the argument that social investment must begin a decade before retirement and is as essential as financial planning. Explicitly names professional societies as part of the support ecosystem.
Brower KJ, Litt IF, Shanafelt TD. *Retirement: Fostering Physician Well-being Over the Career Life Cycle.* Mayo Clin Proc. 2026;101(1):179–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2025.05.027	Frames retirement as a process of recalibration rather than a discrete exit. Documents the professional identity crisis at retirement, the social isolation risk for physicians whose networks existed primarily at work, and the mutual-value model for retired physician engagement—including the Stanford emeriti mentoring pilot showing that retired physicians make highly effective mentors for junior faculty.

Source	Inclusion Rationale
Dandar VM, Field JP, Garrison GF. *Promising Practices for Promoting Faculty Engagement and Retention at U.S. Medical Schools*. 2nd ed. AAMC. 2017. https://www.aamc.org/services/member-capacity-building/standpoint-surveys/promising-practices	Documents the predecessor program to the AAMC StandPoint Surveys and provides contextual grounding for how faculty engagement has been studied longitudinally in academic medicine.
Buer TS, Kutzler MA, Salcedo A, Overholser B, Pollart SM, Spector ND. Revisiting a Faculty Career Management Life Cycle Model: Anticipating and Navigating Career Transitions in Academic Medicine. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings*. 2024;31(4):648--658.	Provides the academic career lifecycle framework against which specialty society engagement patterns in academic physicians are interpreted. Reviews academic faculty career transitions and the role of professional society engagement across career stages.
Del Carmen MG et al. *Trends and Factors Associated with Physician Burnout at a Multispecialty Academic Faculty Practice Organization*. JAMA Netw Open. 2019.	Establishes the burnout dynamics specific to academic practice settings as distinct from community or private practice settings. Survey of 1,774 physicians (2014) and 1,882 physicians (2017) at a multispecialty academic faculty practice. Burnout data by career stage in academic setting.